

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUL 08 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of)	Examiner: Rinchart, K.B.
)	Art Unit: 3749
DOBIE, DARRYL & CHERYL)	
)	AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE
)	TO OFFICE ACTION
Filed: April 20, 2004)	
)	
Scial No. 10/828,743)	
)	
For: NOISE ATTENUATING DRYING)	
APPARATUS FOR MOTOR)	
VEHICLES)	

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed March 15, 2005, Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 13, 14 and 16-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snyder. Claims 1 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hull. Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrison. Claims 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gadefait et al. Claims 2-6 and 14-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hull. Claims 7 and 21 were allowed and claims 11 and 20 were indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form and including the base claim and any intervening claims.

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the allowance of Claims 7 and 21 and the indication that claims 11 and 20 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form and including the base claim and any